



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/697,183	10/30/2003	Gal Shachor	IL920030037US1	8155
877 7590 02/06/2008 IBM CORPORATION, T.J. WATSON RESEARCH CENTER P.O. BOX 218 YORKTOWN HEIGHTS, NY 10598			EXAMINER CAMPOS, YAIMA	
			ART UNIT 2185	PAPER NUMBER
			MAIL DATE 02/06/2008	DELIVERY MODE PAPER

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

94

<b>Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/697,183	SHACHOR, GAL	
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
	Yaima Campos	2185	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

- (1) Yaima Campos (Examiner); Sanjiv Shah (Supervisor).      (3) Naim Shichrur (Reg. No. 56,248).  
 (2) Michal Shomron.      (4) Dekel Shiloh.

Date of Interview: 30 January 2008.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic    b) ☐ Video Conference  
 c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant    2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes    e) ☒ No.

If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_

Claim(s) discussed: 1.


Identification of prior art discussed: Cooke Jr. et al. (US 6,574,629) and "Dicom Supplement 10".

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant's representatives and Examiners discussed prior art of record and proposed claim amendments to include further clarifications of the term "directly" which applicant's representatives agreed to file.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

SANJIV SHAH  
 SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER  
 TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

\_\_\_\_\_  
 Examiner's signature, if required